# OICE AND COUNTERVOIC

CLARITY BY INTELLIGENT ANALYSTS, LITTLE HEARD - BY THE PEOPLE, FOR THE PEOPLE!

INDEPENDENT AND

INSPIRING Tired of the media? ...

NEW Tired of the media? ... Watch uncensored news! Wallin University HEWS: NAMER.TV



NOT TRANSPARENT CITIZENS -TRANSPARENT MEDIA POLITICIANS, AFFILIATIONS, ETC. WE NEED! WORLD EVENTS UNDER THE PUBLIC MAGNIFYING GLASS

NOW

# HAND-TO-HAND EXPRESS

### THE PEOPLE HAVE A RIGHT TO VOICE AND COUNTERVOICE

~ No. 19/18 ~ "SPECIAL EDITION"-9/11

#### Intro

Do you know the fairy tale "The Emperor's New Clothes"? In it, people see their Emperor walking by in underwear. However, all have been brainwashed and believe that the Emperor is wearing beautiful clothes until a small child unmasks the lie and calls out "But he is not wearing anything!" At this moment all recognize the deception. With this fairy tale, Peter M. Ketcham, former employee of NIST\* compares the official version of 9/11. Although it is long since evident that the collapse of the towers of the World Trade Center is not possible to have been caused by planes, skeptics are still being degraded as conspiracy theorists, and the majority continuously seems to believe the version presented by the media and politicians. In this regard, Ketcham says "I think what has allowed the official story to stand for this long is a combination of several things. One is complacency, another one is fear, and the third is ignorance. There are probably many people that just probably have not looked at it." Yet 9/11 is and remains a key event of our time! What happened on September 11th, 2001 not only cost 3000 Americans their lives but justified a war against "terror" that never seems to end, in which since then various countries have been attacked and millions of people killed. [1]

\*National Institute for Standards and Technology. US Federal Agency commissioned to investigate the cause of the physical collapse of the towers of the WTC

The Editors (ts.)

### What happened to the twin towers on September 11th, 2001 would be physically impossible just as a result of airplane crashes because:

- The buildings had been constructed with full protection against an aircraft crash, even to resist a Boeing 707.
- It is impossible for an asymmetrical (uneven) impact to cause a symmetrical (even) collapse.
- It is impossible that two airplanes brought down three skyscrapers. But building number 7 fell as well!
- It is impossible that aviation gasoline exploding in a huge fireball could then virtually pulverize 110 floors in the manner it happened.
- In a fire steel beams weighing tons cannot be flung to the side hundreds of meters.
- One tankful of aviation gasoline can impossibly disintegrate 100,000 tons of concrete almost into thin air.
- In the same location paper did not burn even though steel warped.
- It is impossible for kerosene to turn huge steel gratings and concrete to dust.
- Thermite\*, however, is able to melt steel gratings and thereby destroy them. In the debris residuals of Thermite were found everywhere.
  - \*Mixture of substances able to produce intense heat. Also used for demolitions.
- The flood protection tubs of the buildings could only remain intact because almost all 110 stories were pulverized and in free fall.
- The simultaneous failure of all beams of a skyscraper is physically impossible without blasting. It is incomprehensible that NIST did not initiate an examination of the explosives and had all remains of steel shipped to China within two weeks – even before any investigation. [2]

Ivo Sasek at the Info-Giant Show at the 15th Anti-Censorship Conference

### We didn't know about it!

jut. After a speech in Switzerland, the architect Richard Gage, founder of Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth, was interviewed by Kla.TV: "When did you start to doubt the official version?" Gage (abridged): "Well, when 9/11 happened, I was watching it on TV... just in shock: These towers came down ... I was kind of not able to use my rational faculties to realize what was going on. Until I heard David Ray Griffin speak in 2006. He was talking about all of this evidence -

beams flying out of the twin towers ... and a third tower that came down, WTC Building 7. I was just absolutely amazed that I had never heard any of this before. Not from the media, not from my government, not from other architects and engineers. And I'm just going: If what he is saying is true, then this changes EVERYTHING. And when they showed me the third tower on video - and I knew nothing about it - I mean this is the third worst structural failure in modern history, this third

tower, 47 stories tall, it's said to have come down in 7 seconds, top to bottom, freefall acceleration. They said it came down by fire, in the official story, but no architects or engineers even knew about it back then – even 5 years later! I knew that the rest of my life was going to be different, in that moment." In 2006 Gage founded the movement AE9/11 Truth, which today has over 4,000 members from all around the world. [3]

## NIST employee states: Official 9/11 report is unfounded

sak. The "National Institute of Standards and Technology" (NIST), was assigned by the US government to analyze the physical cause for the collapse of the three World Trade Center buildings. In September 2005 and in November 2008, NIST published a final

report about the collapsing process of the WTC towers. According to this report, the towers collapsed because of "uncontrolled building fire" caused by the collision of the planes and the kerosene. In August 2016, Peter Michael Ketcham, a former NIST employee, began looking into the report about the WTC collapse. He was never involved himself in the investigations of 9/11. Very soon it became clear to him that the NIST investigations were not serious and genuine studies.

Continued on page 2

Sources: [1] www.kla.tv/11074 [2] www.kla.tv/infogigant-sasek |www.youtube.com/watch?v=H98ERsrURgE |www.youtube.com/watch?v=e55JFb1oKdo|www.youtube.com/watch?time\_continue=35&v=GvAv-114bwM |www.kla.tv/12842|www.kla.tv/12180|www.youtube.com/watch?v=6AU08opV090 **[3]** www.kla.tv/12842

Virus alert! Countervoice web sites seem to be at high risk of virus attacks. Hand-to-Hand Express avoids this danger - information concise and to the point, without using the internet - Advice for all who still consult the links: never access the internet from a PC with sensitive data.

You have important news? Write a short Hand-to-Hand Express article. – Clearly state the major point and name the responsible people! - Sources if possible without internet! - Then send your short article to SuG@infopool.info

According to Ketcham, "I always felt that NIST's research was of highest integrity. So to see what they had done with the World Trade Center's investigation and report left me stunned. [...] I saw that the most likely cause – controlled demolition – was not investigated at all; in fact, it was dis-

missed in one or two sentences in the report." How can it be that with this dubious NIST report, nearly the entire Western world's politicians and media insist that the reason for the collapse of the three WTC buildings has been clarified?

"Why did NIST issue a report that seems to do everything it can to avoid investigating the most likely cause, in this case being controlled demolition? [...] The only thing I can do is make some guesses. And I emphasize the word 'guess'. Perhaps they were coerced, perhaps they were pressured. I don't know where that coercion or pressure might have come from. But it is not typical of NIST to do anything short of excellence." [1]

Peter. M. Ketcham, former NIST employee

# Did the media have prior knowledge of the destruction of WTC 7?

kw./mm. After the twin WTC towers collapsed on the morning of September 11th, 2001, the nearby WTC Building No. 7 collapsed in the evening around 5:20 pm, even though it had not been hit by an airplane. In a live broadcast, the BBC London, however, reported this collapse 23 minutes too early, at 4:57 pm while the building was still standing as the viewers could clearly see in the background (see www.kla.tv/11074

from min 11:58). This blatant news slip-up suggests that there must have been a "script" for 9/11 and that BBC obviously had prior knowledge about the course of the attacks. This would also explain why the media together with US government offices have carried on ignoring and denying irrefutable physical laws with unconceivable stubbornness for 17 years. [5]

"When the 9/11 Commission Report was published in 2004, President Bush laid his hand on it and said: "That's the truth!" Then we read it and asked ourselves: How do they explain the collapse of the third building, as no airplane had crashed there? And I can tell you that this was resolved in an elegant way. The building is not even mentioned. That means the official report of the terror attacks contains a critical mistake. An entire building is missing here, and that is not acceptable. You must understand that this report is (was) the basis for the German Federal Armed Forces' operation in Afghanistan." [6]

Dr. Daniele Ganser, historian

### Air defense system switched off

**rg.** With regard to the planes that flew into the Twin Towers and the Pentagon, the question arises as to why they were not intercepted. For all aircraft that change course in the US are

pursued by fighter pilots and can be shot down in extreme cases. For reasons unexplainable, the air defense system obviously was shut down on September 11th, 2001. [7]

### Collapse by nuclear demolition?

sl. Until 2001, the name "Ground Zero" designated exclusively a site above or beneath an atomic bomb detonation. It was quite unusual that already at midday of September 11th, 2001, this term was used by almost all officials and a few reporters, though it was way too early for a description of causes. Was there insider knowledge involved? The author of the film "The Third Truth" received knowledge at the end of the 1980's during his time with the Soviet military unit 4179\*, that the WTC had been provided with a demolition mechanism by a so-called "mini-nuke" \*\*, placed about 50 meters under the buildings. For at that time for such massive steel frame constructions there was no possibility for demolition in the case of emergency. Through this kind of demolition, materials such as concrete or steel are completely pulverized by a thermonuclear subterranean explosion in a way fire or a collapse cannot produce. Precisely this was discovered at "Ground Zero". A further hint: An above average number of the emergency personnel came down with forms of cancer that are caused by radioactive dust or radiation. And finally: the 9/11 memorial consists of two very large water basins, which are reminiscent of water filled cooling ponds for wornout nuclear fuel rods. Is this supposed to absorb the treacherous nuclear radiation? [8]

# Were weapons unknown until now used at 9/11?

hm./ste./gr. In a video documentation by nuoviso.tv. strange phenomena are being reported, which make the official government version of 9/11 appear absurd. Almost 500,000 tons of building material from each of the WTC towers were almost completely pulverized, which cannot be explained by physics.

There were also at least 1,200 vehicles that were damaged or destroyed in the most unusual way. According to engineer Dr. Judy Wood, such unnatural phenomena rather indicate the use of innovative weapons systems, which evidently were employed for the first time on a large scale on September 11th, 2001. [9]

### Closing point:

"9/11 challenges everyone to think for themselves. -Be aware that your world view depends on this question! It is not a small thing."

(Dr. Daniele Ganser)

#### Recommendation:

50-page brochure by AE9/11Truth.

Download for free as pdf-file:

www.ae911truth.org/continuing-ed/ae911-bm-50

Or order a printed version:

www.ae911truth.org/evidence/beyond-misinformation

Sources: [4] www.nist.gov/engineering-laboratory/final-reports-nist-world-trade-center-disaster-investigation [5] www.bing.com/videos/search?q=BBC+Einsturz+WTC+7&view=detail&mid=1E82D53F482FFF8 F26CF1E82D53F482FFF8F26CF&FORM=VIRE|www.ae911truth.ch/Jenseitsdertaeuschung.pdf(p.35,p.56) [6] www.youtube.com/watch?V=GDv5amWGzFQ [7]www.ae911truth.ch/dokumentation.html [8] www.kla.tv/4440 [9] www.youtube.com/watch?v=6AU08opV090

The sources were taken from the German edition and may contain German references.

# Print for the Hand-to-Hand Express is not centralized. Please copy this yourself at least 3 times and pass it on!

Sources possibly attacked by hackers or lost in the internet are saved in the V&C archives.

Masthead: 11/09/18

V&C is a media for truth seekers and lovers of justice all over the world.

The articles are contributed by the readers It appears when it appears, and there is no commercial intent.

Responsible for the content:

Every writer, witness or author, everyone giving a reference, is solely responsible for his contribution. The contents of V&C do not necessarily reflect the viewpoint of the editors.

Ivo Sasek, publisher address: Nord 33, 9428 Walzenhausen, Switzerland

The V&C is also available in: ENG, FRA, ITA, ESP, RUS, HOL, HUN, RUM, ISL, ARAB, UKR, SWE, LIT - others on request **Subsciption service:** www.anti-zensur.info **Germany:** AZZ, P.O. Box 0111, D-73001 Göppingen **Austria:** AZZ, P.O. Box 61, A-9300 St. Veit a. d. Glan **Switzerland:** AZZ, P.O. Box 229, CH-9445 Rebstein







Stimmvereinigung.org www.stimmvereinigung.org **AGB** 



<sup>\*</sup>Department of the nuclear weapons control council of the USSR.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Small-format nuclear bomb